

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: Manston airport DCO Unique Reference: 20013334
Date: 03 December 2021 00:51:14

After recent high-profile breaches in governance may I remind the SOS of the standards expected of all public services honesty impartiality openness accountability accuracy fairness integrity transparency objectivity reliability

As a resident of Ramsgate & interested party I wish to express my very grave concern over the proposed DCO of the former airport site at Manston. There is no independent evidence to support the future viability of a return of aviation to the Manston site, in fact there is plenty of independent evidence that shows aviation at Manston is not viable, including the Avia report, Falcon report, and the Davis commission, your own commissioned appointed independent aviation assessor report and also 16 years of commercial failure with multiple owners who had the means to invest if market forces warranted such investment, but no such investment was needed as it's peninsular location is its fundamental flaw. The evidence RSP rely on as proof of viability has been conclusively disproved with actual aviation data, RSP's evidence cannot be used as it's a work of fiction fabricated to mislead the reader into thinking there is a business case when there is clearly not.

The secretary of state cannot expect this project to succeed as stated as a requirement in the planning act. The man who spearheads this project (Tony Fraudmann) has a long history of aviation failure (including Manston) in making any airport project successful, I'm yet to find he has any credible success at all in his past history, aviation related or not, leaving a wake of losses both to investors & communities.

Thanet's largest industry, tourism, would suffer irreparable damage if a cargo airport was even tried. Making an already economically deprived area suffer further. The blight caused to the 40,000 residents of Ramsgate would be truly unbearable given the quota count that RSP are asking for. Our fears are with such a high quota count (night time flights), RSP are basing their business case on accepting night time flying on a mass scale at the cost of residents to make their airport more attractive than their competitors. This would be an environmental disaster, as not only would the residents suffer but Thanet being a peninsular location all cargo would have millions more road miles annually than if cargo was delivered to a more central location. This blatant land grab under the guise of a DCO should not be allowed, our country has enjoyed an almost corruption free past, now we see our local MP apologising to the House for not declaring an interest in this matter after giving this project his undivided support, he and other MP's have refused to listen to the massive amount of factual evidence against the future viability choosing to adopt RSP's disproved evidence of viability instead. RSP's public consultation omitted their plans of a predominately night time flying cargo hub, in fact they assured residents that this would NOT be the case, this has left our community feeling that we have been lied to and the acceptance of RSP's proposal for examination without PINS ensuring RSP go back to the public to explain their exact detrimental intentions leaves us thinking that PINS were a part of this deception. We urge the SOS not to bow to political pressure and look at the facts presented to compare actual aviation data against RSP's fiction.

Mr & Mrs Hollins